## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ioner,

§

v. § CIVIL CASE NO. 3:18-CV-1115-B-BK

§ APPEAL CASE NO. 18-11466

LORIE DAVIS, Director, §

TDCJ-CID,

Respondent. §

## AMENDED ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the Court is Petitioner's July 5, 2019 Objection to the June 14, 2019 Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Doc. 37. Petitioner states he placed the Objection in the prison mail box on June 30, 2019. Doc. 37 at 3. On July 3, 2019, the Court accepted the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation and denied Petitioner's Motion for a Delayed Notice of Appeal, construed as a motion to reopen the time to file the notice of appeal under FED. R. APP. P. 4(a) (6), not having seen Petitioner's Objection. The Court now considers the Objection.

Petitioner does not claim that he can satisfy the first requirement of Rule 4(a)(6) – namely that he did not receive the August 16, 2018 judgment. He reiterates simply that the reason he was unable to timely file a notice of appeal was due to the supposed denial of access to the prison law library.

After a de novo review of those portions of the proposed findings and recommendation to which objection was made, the Court **OVERRULES** Petitioner's *Objection*.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for a Delayed Notice of Appeal, construed as a motion to reopen the time to file the notice of appeal under FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(6), is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019.

JANE J. BOYL

MITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE